

**From:** [Laidlaw, Tina](#)  
**To:** ["Suplee, Mike"](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Rec use removal criteria  
**Date:** Thursday, May 23, 2013 12:14:27 PM

---

Sounds good.

---

**From:** Suplee, Mike [mailto:msuplee@mt.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 23, 2013 11:55 AM  
**To:** Laidlaw, Tina  
**Subject:** RE: Rec use removal criteria  
Hi Tina;

Yes, please include this subject on the agenda.

Here is our current plan regarding non-deg and nutrients meetings:

1. Develop an outline of discussion topics
2. Circulate among the core interested parties (yourself included) and ask folks to help us fill out the details
3. When sufficiently developed, call the meeting and further the discussion there (you will be invited)-likely mid-late JUNE

Sound good?

Mike

---

**From:** Laidlaw, Tina [mailto:Laidlaw.Tina@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 23, 2013 11:38 AM  
**To:** Suplee, Mike  
**Subject:** RE: Rec use removal criteria  
Thanks for the reminder. Here you go:

1. Nearly dry or dry
2. Remote and/or difficult to access (not close to hiking trails; private lands or very remote for public lands)
3. Velocity makes the stream potentially dangerous to wade

One of our concerns was that < 0.5 m could still allow primary and/or secondary contact recreation by fisherman or backpackers wanting to wade in a high quality stream.

Here's a thought: what if we discuss this approach with Dave next Tuesday as well. It would be good for you to hear his thoughts directly.

Hope this helps.

Tina

---

**From:** Suplee, Mike [mailto:msuplee@mt.gov]  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 23, 2013 11:07 AM  
**To:** Laidlaw, Tina  
**Subject:** Rec use removal criteria  
Hi Tina;

You were going to provide me the list of criteria we use in Region VIII to potentially remove a recreation use. That would be helpful as a continue to try to craft our temporary use class approach.

Thanks,

Mike